UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/815,545	03/31/2004	Ram P. Mohan	24858.703.301	7182
23623 AMIN, TURO	7590 11/02/2007 OCY & CALVIN, LLP	7	EXAM	INER
1900 EAST 9TH STREET, NATIONAL CITY CENTER			INGBERG, TODD D	
24TH FLOOR CLEVELAND	•	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2193	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/02/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docket1@thepatentattorneys.com hholmes@thepatentattorneys.com osteuball@thepatentattorneys.com

			D
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/815,545	MOHAN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
•	Todd Ingberg	2193	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	rith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C arter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS COMMUN PER 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a on. period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO statute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	22 August 2007		
· · · ·	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for all		tters, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice ur	·	· •	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23 and 27-40</u> is/are pending ir	the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>24-26</u> is/are wit	, ,		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23,27-40</u> is/are rejected.	•		
c) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers	. •		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.		
· <u> </u>] accepted or b)☐ objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection	- · · · - ·		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the o			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by t	·		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
 Certified copies of the priority docu 	ments have been received.		
Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been received in	Application No	
Copies of the certified copies of the	e priority documents have bee	n received in this National Stage	
application from the International E	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
* See the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certified copies no	t received.	
		•	
Attachment(s)	_		•
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date	
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		Informal Patent Application	

Application/Control Number: 10/815,545

Art Unit: 2193

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-23, 27-40 have been examined.

Claims 24 – 26 have been canceled.

Claims 1, 9, 16-18, 20, 22 - 23, 36 have been amended.

Specification

- 1. A new title has been entered.
- 2. The prior object to claim 22 has been overcome.
- 3. Prior objections to claims 16, 17, 18, 20, 22 and 40 have been overcome.

Priority

- 4. Priority to Abandoned application 09/808,741 with a date of March 14, 2001 has been established. Claim to Domestic priority with 60/189,358 (March 14, 2000) will be reviewed with each response. Claimed subject matter supporting Figures 16 52 do not receive the Domestic Priority Date. The effective filing date for claimed subject matter supporting these figures will be determined by the date of the CIP filing.
- 5. The later-filed application must be an application for a patent for an invention which is also disclosed in the prior application (the parent or original nonprovisional application or provisional application). The disclosure of the invention in the parent application and in the later-filed application must be sufficient to comply with the requirements of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112. See *Transco Products, Inc. v. Performance Contracting, Inc.*, 38 F.3d 551, 32 USPQ2d 1077 (Fed. Cir. 1994).

Art Unit: 2193

The disclosure of the prior-filed application, Application No. 60/189, 358, fails to provide adequate support or enablement in the manner provided by the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112 for one or more claims of this application.

- 6. Claims 1 40 fail to be support by the Domestic Priority document. A lack of support to provide enough information for one of ordinary skill in the art to provided the additional claimed and Specified subject matter. The following is a summary of the unsupported terms: Application logics, Visual node layout, interface block node, interaction node, user interface node, user interface block node, visual representation of a software function, task node interface, execution without compilation of application logic, paused and saved during execution of application logic, restored and resumed saved application logic (including state information), visual node layout, node execution measurements, any automatic generation of documentation, version history abilities, access control of application logic outside normal "accessor functions", (i.e. ANCI C Private, Public), logic for the purpose of modification and multiple access of the application logic for the purpose of viewing, automatically validating application logic against errors, event triggering and conditions.
- 7. In the event the Applicant elects to argue the denial of the Domestic Priority date. The mapping of the claimed subject matter to the Domestic Document is required to overcome the rejection of priority.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

8. Prior rejection under 35 U.S.C. 101 has been overcome by new PTO policy.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

9. Prior rejection to Claim 22 has been overcome.

Art Unit: 2193

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 11. Claims 1 23, 27 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over SoftWire (SW) Graphical Programming as taught in USPN #6,425,121 B1 issued July 23, 2002 and filed June 14, 2000 in view of Visual Basic 6 (VB) as documented in Rob Thayer's text book Visual Basic Unleashed (September 11, 1998). SoftWire states the invention is an add-on to Visual Basic (SW, col 2, lines 1-16 and col 6, lines 37 53) in further view of Template Software's Workflow system Chapter 9 from 1998 (WFT).

Claim 1

SW teaches a method for creating software (SW, Abstract), comprising: providing a plurality of nodes (SW, Figure 4B, #402 and #414) and a directory of applications (SW, Figure 4B, #418, #420, #422), each of an application being created by use of at least a portion of the plurality of the nodes; selecting at least a portion of the plurality of nodes to create a selected node layout that represent a plurality of application logics (SW, Figure 9, shows program in iconic form and SW, col 8, line 55 – col 9, line 13); and executing the selected node layout by a server program (VB, Server, pages 547 – 549). visually showing the selected node layout as a visual node layout (The combination of SW and VB teach the node layouts (referred to as icons) and WFT teaches node layouts see 8-10),; monitoring a flow of control through each node in the node layout during execution by showing individual node execution measurement (WFT, both Chapter 8 the Simulator teaches monitoring a flow of control and Chapter 9 WFT Using the Management Status and Error Facilities); and wherein the individual node execution measurements include usage totals, whole execution time and average execution time (WFT, captures both node information and queue information in RSTO Module page 9-50 to 9-55). The intended use of the WFT system is to access RSTO to writing your own statistical routines in addition to the built in routines (page 9-54 to 9-55). One of ordinary skill in the art would know how to write routines for usage totals, whole execution time and average execution for nodes (page 9-55) as well as for Users, Tasks and Work items.

Application/Control Number: 10/815,545

Art Unit: 2193

SoftWire (SW) teaches the use of icons to perform object based programming. The icons generate Visual Basic code. Visual Basic (VB) is a popular object programming language which enables add-ins/-ons such as SoftWire (VB, Chapter 3 – VB6 Template Manager, page 62) features such as web development and client server architecture. Softwire is an add-on to Visual Basic which teach a rapid development environment. Template teaches the ability to drill down within nodes and view the underlying performance information (WFT, Chapters 8 and 9) of the workflow model both in a simulation (Chapter 8) and the deployed system (Chapter 9). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to combine the teachings of rapid deployment system of SW and VB with the node statistical abilities of Template because the ability to determine the performance of a workflow systems yields information on how to tune the system.

Claim 2

The method of claim 1, further comprising: visually displaying the selected node layout as a visual node layout. (SW, col 6, lines 21 – line 53).

Claim 3

The method of claim 1, wherein at least a portion of the plurality of application logics includes a user interaction (SW, Col 6, lines 50 - 54).

Claim 4

The method of claim 3, wherein the user interaction permits a user to interact with the server program (VB, client server, pages 547-549).

Claim 5

The method of claim 3, wherein the user interaction is executable on multiple channels (VB, Web, page 520 and Mail, page 484).

Claim 6

The method of claim 3, wherein the user interaction is executable by at least one of web, voice, e-mail and wireless channels (See the rejection for claim 5).

Claim 7

The method of claim 1, wherein the plurality of nodes includes a user interface node (SW, images and forms, col 1, lines 47 – col 2, line 15).

Claim 8

The method of claim 7, wherein the user interface node includes GUI components and a template for the physical layout of static (SW, Figure 4C the icon is static) and dynamic portions of a user display (SW, Col 17 line 65 to col 18 line 17, properties like caption and attributes can be changed).

Art Unit: 2193

Claim 9

The method of claim 8, wherein dynamic portions of the user display are used by the server program at runtime to layout application specific GUI components (see the rejection for claim 7 and VB, page 484, Client – server).

Claim 10

The method of claim 3, wherein the user interaction includes a user interface node, a user interface block node and an interaction node. (SW, figure 4 – nodes for building interaction with user in GUI and properties SW, col figure 8A right side – Invention is an add-in to VB Properties of VB used in invention).

Claim 11

The method of claim 10, wherein the user interface node and user interface block node (as per claim 10) create a user interaction based on business rules (SW, Figure 9 - illustrate a business rule modeled and VB, page 890 - business logic layer).

Claim 12

The method of claim 11, wherein the interaction node executes the user interaction. See the rejection for claim 10.

Claim 13

The method of claim 1, wherein each node is a visual representation of a software function. (SW, col 31, lines 21-42)

Claim 14

The interface of claim 10, wherein each node includes inputs to a software function. (SW, col 31, lines 42 - 67 – data input in example)

Claim 15

The interface of claim 1, wherein the plurality of nodes includes task node interfaces with external components to exchange data information. (SW, col 7, lines 33 - 40)

Claim 16

The method of claim 1, wherein the selected node layout can be debugged visually (VB, page 782, Debugger)

Claim 17

The method of claim 1, wherein the parameter and properties values of the nodes can be changed dynamically based on business rules (SW, col 3, line 65 to col 4, line 34 and claim 11).

Claim 18

The method claim 1, wherein the parameter and properties values can be linked to variables (SW, col 7, line 65 to col 8 64 – properties and col 36, lines 20 - 30).

Art Unit: 2193

Claim 19

The method of claim 1, wherein the application logic is directly executed without compilation of application logic. (SW, col 2, lines 5 - 16, DLL)

Claim 20

The method of claim 1, wherein the application logic can be paused and saved during execution (SW, Figure 16, Wait and col35, lines 7 - 20 and WFT, page 8-19).

Claim 21

The method of claim 21, wherein the saved application logic can be restored and resumed (SW, col 35, lines 50 - 65, wait and cancel)

Claim 22

The method of claim 22, wherein the saved application logic can be restored and execution resumed on a copy of the server program on a computer other than where it was initially started (See cancel in claim 21 and server of claim 1)

Claim 23

SW teaches a method for creating software (SW, Abstract), comprising: providing a plurality of nodes (See the rejection for claim 1) and a directory of applications (See the rejection for claim 1), each of an application being created by use of at least a portion of the plurality of the nodes (See the rejection for claim 1); selecting at least a portion of the plurality of nodes to create a selected node layout that represent a plurality of application logics (See the rejection for claim 1); defining the application logic by selecting at least one of GUI parameters and options in each selected node (SW, col 24, line 42 to col 25, line 37); executing the selected node layout by a server program (VB, Server, pages 547 – 549). visually showing the selected node layout as a visual node layout; monitoring a flow of control through each node in the node layout during execution by showing individual node execution measurement; and wherein the individual node execution measurements include usage totals, whole execution time and average execution time. See the rejection for claim 1.

SoftWire (SW) teaches the use of icons to perform object based programming. The icons generate Visual Basic code. Visual Basic (VB) is a popular object programming language which enables add-ins/-ons such as SoftWire (VB, Chapter 3 – VB6 Template Manager, page 62) features such as web development and client server architecture. Softwire is an add-on to Visual Basic which teach a rapid development environment. Template teaches the ability to drill down within nodes and view the underlying performance information (WFT, Chapters 8 and 9) of the workflow model both in a simulation (Chapter 8) and the deployed system (Chapter 9). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to combine the teachings of rapid deployment system of SW and VB with the node statistical abilities of Template because the ability to determine the performance of a workflow systems yields information on how to tune the system.

Claim 27

Art Unit: 2193

The method of claim 23, further comprising: providing documentation of a functional use of a node. (VB, page 737 – add in documentation to Help)

Claim 28

The method of claim 23, further comprising: providing a graphic description of a plurality of nodes that represent a full application logic (SW, col 1, line 47 - col 2, line 16).

Claim 29

The method of claim 23, further comprising: creating a history of different versions of the application logic (VB, page 72, version).

Claim 30

The method of claim 23, further comprising: creating access control of the application logic. (VB, page 544, getters controlled by PUBLIC or PRIVATE see pages 218 – 219 and SW, col 31, lines 22-24).

Claim 31

The method of claim 30, wherein the access control provides single access of the application logic for purposes of modification and multiple access of the application logic for purposes of viewing. (See the rejection for claim 30 – controlled access by PUBLIC or PRIVATE see pages 218 – 219 and SW, col 31, lines 22-24).

Claim 32

The method of claim 23, further comprising: automatically validating the application logic against errors. (SW, Col 1, lines 42-46, col 2, lines 10-16).

Claim 33

The method of claim 23, further comprising: aggregation at least a portion of the plurality of nodes to create an aggregated node. (SW, col 6, lines 38-46 and col 7, lines 7-12- Also inherent in Object technology for extensible programming - aggregation is a form of inheritance)

Claim 34

The method of claim 33, wherein the aggregated node is an application logic. (See claim 33 – methods are inherited).

Claim 35

The method of claim 34, wherein the aggregated node can be used different application logics. (SW, Figure 15 under General shows the reusable components – Reuse is one of the common benefits of Object Technology, SW, figures 13 - 15).

Claim 36

SW teaches a method for creating software (SW, Abstract), comprising: providing a plurality of nodes (See the rejection for claim 1) and a directory of applications (See the rejection for claim 1), each of an application being created by use of at least a portion of the plurality of the

Application/Control Number: 10/815,545

Art Unit: 2193

nodes (See the rejection for claim 1); selecting at least a portion of the plurality of nodes to create a selected node layout that represent a plurality of application logics nodes (See the rejection for claim 1); defining external application interfaces nodes (SW, Figure 4C, Data Acquisition and Claim 5 external connections for email and Internet); and executing the selected node layout by a server program nodes (VB, Server, pages 547 - 549).

Page 9

visually showing the selected node layout as a visual node layout; monitoring a flow of control through each node in the node layout during execution by showing individual node execution measurement; and wherein the individual node execution measurements include usage totals, whole execution time and average execution time (see the rejection for claim 1.

SoftWire (SW) teaches the use of icons to perform object based programming. The icons generate Visual Basic code. Visual Basic (VB) is a popular object programming language which enables add-ins/-ons such as SoftWire (VB, Chapter 3 – VB6 Template Manager, page 62) features such as web development and client server architecture. Softwire is an add-on to Visual Basic which teach a rapid development environment. Template teaches the ability to drill down within nodes and view the underlying performance information (WFT, Chapters 8 and 9) of the workflow model both in a simulation (Chapter 8) and the deployed system (Chapter 9). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to combine the teachings of rapid deployment system of SW and VB with the node statistical abilities of Template because the ability to determine the performance of a workflow systems yields information on how to tune the system.

Claim 37

The method of claim 36, further comprising: establishing conditions for execution of the selected node layout. (SW, col 36, lines 21 - 41).

Claim 38

The method of claim 37, wherein the conditions for the execution include time based events. (SW, col 30, lines 49-65).

Claim 39

The method of claim 37, wherein the conditions for the execution include programmatic events. (SW, col 27 line 40 to col 28, line 15).

Claim 40

The method of claim 39, wherein selected programmatic events create a trigger for the execution of the selected node layout. (SW, Claim 39 and col 28, lines 15-64).

Response to Arguments

12. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-23, 27-40 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 2193

Conclusion

13. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Correspondence Information

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Todd Ingberg whose telephone number is (571) 272-3723. The examiner can normally be reached on during the work week.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Meng-Ai An can be reached on (571) 272-3756. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2193

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2193